

REPORT OF THE FOOD ANALYST

(Refer Regulation (2) of 2.3.1)

Report No.188 /2014-'15

Certified that I, **SREEKUMARI.P.**, duly appointed under the provisions of Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 (34 of 2006), for all the Local Areas of the State received from **Food Safety Officer, Chadayamangalam Circle** a sample of **Honey (sample collected from Kadakkal Panchayat)** bearing **Code No.195** and **Serial Number (not mentioned in the Form VI memorandum) of the Designated Officer, Kollam District on Twenty First day of March 2015** by courier for analysis.

The condition of seals on the container and the outer covering on receipt was as follows:-

The seals fixed on the container and the outer cover of the sample tallied with the specimen impression of the seal sent separately with a copy of memorandum in a sealed cover by the Food Safety Officer. The seals are found correct and intact.

I found the sample to be **Honey** falling under **regulation No.2.8.3:1** of Food Safety and Standards (Food Products and Food Additives) Regulations 2011. The sample was in a condition fit for analysis and has been analysed on **20.4.2015** and completed on **23.4.2015** and the result of its analysis is given below/ was not in a condition fit for analysis for the reason given below.

Reasons:

Analysis Report

- (i) Sample Description : The sample received in sealed plastic bottle with company label and a printed label slip.
- (ii) Physical appearance : The sample consists of brown coloured thick viscous liquid.
- (iii) Label : PRIYA HONEY; AGMARK; STATE AGMARK GRADING LABORATORY, TRIVANDRUM, Honey a natural product as food, Tonic Medicine, PRIYA HONEY, KODANKARA, CHENKAL P.O, NEYYATTINKARA. BEE KEEPING MUDIUM SCALE UNIT (AIDED BY KERALA KHADI & V. BOARD), Priya Honey Kodankara, Lot No. 2, Health 21 31 200 1000123, Date of Packing: 10.06.2014, Best before 18 month, Net wet: 200g, Con. Adress: Priya Honey House, Kodankara, Chenkal P.O. **Vegetarian Symbol- Absent, Nutritional Information: Absent.**

Sl. No. (1)	Quantity Characteristics (2)	Name of method of test used (3)	Result (4)	Prescribed Standards as per ✓ (a) Food Safety and Standards (Food Products Standards and Food Additives) Regulations 2011 (2.8.3:1). (b) Label declaration for proprietary food. (c) provisions of the Act, Rules and Regulations for both the above (5)
1.	Mould growth	Visual	Absent	Shall be absent
2.	Moisture	DGHS Method	18.3 percent by mass	Not more than 25.0 percent by mass
3.	Fiehe's test	DGHS Method	Negative	Shall be negative
4.	Aniline Chloride test	DGHS Method	Negative	Shall be negative
5.	Total reducing sugar	„	71.99 percent by mass	Not less than 65.0 percent by mass
6.	Sucrose	„	3.47 percent by mass	Not more than 5.0 percent by mass
7.	Total ash	„	0.22 percent	Not more than 0.5 percent by mass

8.	Acidity (Expressed as Formic Acid)	"	0.007 percent	Not more than 0.2 percent by mass
9.	Test for synthetic food colour	"	Negative	Shall be negative
10.	Fructose – Glucose ratio	"	1.1	Not less than 0.95 percent by mass

Label:-

Nutritional Information	Absent	Contravenes Regulation 2.2.2: 3 of Food Safety Standards (Packaging and Labelling) Regulations 2011
Vegetarian symbol	Absent	Contravenes Regulation 2.2.2: 4 of Food Safety Standards (Packaging and Labelling) Regulations 2011

Note: - The test report relates to the received part only.

Remarks: The said sample is not labeled in accordance with the Regulation 2.2.2 (3&4) of Food Safety and Standards (Packaging & Labelling) Regulation 2011 and is therefore **Misbranded** under Section 3(1) of C(i) of Food Safety and Standard Act 2006.

Signed this: Twenty fourth day of April 2015.



[Signature]
SREEKUMARI.P
 Food Analyst

Copy to:-
 The Designated Officer,
 Dist. Food Inspector Office,
 T. B. Centre 1st Floor, Kollam- 691001

[Handwritten mark]